App. F. IX-4

Preservation Pages 1-80

Previous Reference

1932-33 (V.P.) Preserve File No. 43-32

Serial Nos. 11, 12, 18, 21, 24, 25,

Letter References.

V.P. 32-36 & 1.

" 32-36(2)

" 27-37-344

p.30(B)

hB. 13-41-40, 61.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Six only

Part B for January 1936.

SUBJECT.

Re. Apportionment of the income from Shandies in the Bangalore Dt. between Dt. Bd. and Village Panchayats

5562-5 & 29.1.36

To the President, Dt. Bd. Bangalore

WD 03697—GPB—22,500—28-35

The Dy. Comr. Bangalore.

The Comr. in order & Govt

Directs that 1/4 of the net income at the Shandies in question be made over to the respective Dist. Subject to a maximum of 1/4 of the average realisations of the Panchayat for the last three years from all sources other than Govt.

3391

Apportionment of the income from shandies in the Bangalore Dt between the Dt Bd & V.P.S

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 43 of 193 2 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No. 11

From the Revenue Commr.
no C 4006/32-33 Dt 13.1.34.
Recommends in the circumstances stated, that one-fourth of the income realised from the shandies specified in the Bangalore Dt be ordered to be credited to the respective V.B.'s instead of the whole of the proceeds being credited to the District Board.
1. Three of the shandies included in the present proposal viz., Varthur and Chikkanavar in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsoor in the Devanhalli Tk form the subject of consideration in the linked file (VP 43-32-1 Rc) and info has been called for from the DC as to what proportion he would fix, in the case of those shandies. Kind reference is invited to the notes & orders in that file.
2. Subt for orders

MB 25/1 BV

3 The recommendation of the DVBD must first be called for on the proposals of the Revenue, as was done in the case of the three panchayats referred to above

Sen'dey) 28/1

4 The case be dealt with accordingly

11 January 1934

GE 30 JAN 34 No 806

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

1.6/2 1934

13/2

3391

Apportionments of Shandy proceeds in Bangalore Dt

VP File No. 43 of 193 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

File re Vaccination & circle boundaries Dno 4143 VP 43-32 Distribution of Shandy proceeds 12/2/35 L V SE

Draft Rtd,

18 4/2 AW

T. Joyce
Asst
12/2/34

To The President, Dist Bd, Bangalore 12
No d. 6360 of 19.2.34 - Requests him to place the proposal in Sl 11 before the Bd & forward its resolution for the orders of Govt

Letter No. BK. 1298/34-35 dated 2.8.35 from the President, Dt Board, Bangalore 18
furnishing reasons as to why the proceeds of the Shandies should go to Dt Board & requesting Govt for crediting the entire proceeds of the Shandies to Dist Boards.

Decisions are issued immediately by SVS 6/8

7) The main reasons advanced by the President for coming to the above conclusion is that the holding of Shandis is for the benefit of a number of villages and some of the infections diseases that take birth in such places and spread to many other villages are being checked by the D Bs by way of maintaining Vaccination charges & other charges etc, This beneficial duty of the Board to its District institutions viz. V.Ps entitles it to the whole proceeds of the Shandies

8) No V.P. has made any sanitary arrangements on the ground of the Shandy nor contd

Apportionment of Shandy proceeds in Bangalore Dt. - 3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. V.P.43 of 1935

[App. F. III-2

They do so by their slender resources. It is not the D.B that can do so.

(9) The village Panchayat where the Shandy is held should not be preferentially treated ~~Since it is doubtful~~ but the people from other villages that go there that should be benefited by the proceeds of the Shandy. The Central organisation to make an equitable distribution of this benefit can only be the D.B. and not the V.P.

(10) The Shandy collections of the Dt are Rs 26,820/- and giving away 1/4 to the V.P. would mean considerable reduction in the resources of the Board which would then be put to the painful necessity of refusing many of the beneficial duties it is now doing to the Villages.

11) Under the above circumstances the ~~President~~ Dt Board has resolved not to give any portion of the proceeds to the V.Ps.

12) The Deputy Comm^r recommends, under the above circumstances, that a reasonable apportionment of the realization may be ~~directed~~ made by Govt

13) Orders

ig
9.9.35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

4

3391/V 1044

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

14) The DMD wants the entire realisations from the Shandies to be credited to the Board for the reasons mentioned in the President's letter. The Dy.Com has, in forwarding the letter, stated that in the absence of constructive suggestions by the DMD the Govt may direct a reasonable apportionment.

15) In para 2 of his letter the President has stated that the object of the levy of fees in the shandies is to meet the expenditure on Sanitation & the incurred on the occasion and not to derive profit either to Govt or local bodies like DMDs or Village Panchayets. The Dy.Com's proposal made in his letter of 11.1.34 is to give one-fourth of the net realisations from the shandies to the Village Panchayets, and the DMD has no reason to complain as the expenditure incurred by it will be reimbursed from the fees realised and it will also get three fourths of the balance as a profit. It is only when there is a surplus that the apportionment is made and there is no reason why when there is to be a profit the Panchayet should not be given a share thereof. The arguments advanced in the President's letter for not giving this do not seem to be convincing.

16) If approved a draft GO sanctioning the proposal of the Dy.Com will be put up. (Omitting Varman, Bettahalsur & Chikkabanavar about which orders have been passed already)

Draft Subn
11/26.9.35

17) Yes 24.9 for 18/9

18) Please put up a fair draft as revised for 28/9

Typ. Sec. in. 28/9/19.

E

D. SEP 1935
V. SECTT.

Apportionment of Stamp proceeds to Bangalore D.
10411

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. V.P. 43 of 193 9 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

19) Revised fair draft Subn for consideration
Ag
7/10 9-10 NM 3/10 (20 Dep Secy)

21) I Master appd
Secretary
NM
8.10. NM 8/10

22) I agree the order cannot be reconsidered

23) The order contemplated to grant in individual cases on their merits Have these Cases been so on merits?

S/2
8/10
NM
7.10.

24) Please put up a Draft letter Duncan enquiring. NM 8/10 NM 16/10

25) Subtd with a draft for appn
Ag
11.10.35 NM 14/10 (26 Dep Secy) NM 13/10

27) NM 14.10.

28) GSue
NM 14/10

P.T.O

2063/2/10

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 4 OCT. 1935 No 2166

2214/14/10

SECTION 16 OCT. 1935 GENL & REV. SECTT.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Apportionment of Shandy proceeds in Bangalore Dist

1 6 104 L

M. File No. 43 of 1932-33 General and Revenue Secretariat

Letter No. L2870 dated 23.10.35 to the Rev Comr enquiring if each Case has been examined with merit as desired in Its G.O. dated 6.2.29 21 (Urgent) Secy A 46.10.3 MM/MM

From,

The Revenue Commissioner.

No. 6. 1395/A./34-35 dated 13.12.35.

Forwards a copy of letter No. Cy 815/35-36 dated 30.11.35 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dt stating that the Amildars were asked and they subts the proposals after ~~examining~~ scrutinising each case on its merits, and that they were subsequently examined in his office also from with reference to the financial condition of the Dt Bl & the V.Ps generally.

29) From the Deputy Comm^r's letter, it is understood that ~~it~~ ~~that~~ the Amildars have examined on each case on its merits & the D.C has examined the cases generally -

30) For orders, if the draft G.O. in the file may now issue, as ~~arranged~~ -

Subd with reference to paras 22 & 23 Supra

les 13.1.36 Jan

Dept Secy) 15/1

Secy Revenue

GEN 16/1 - 17 JAN 1936

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 17 JAN 1936 No. 5454

16.1 16/1

19/1/36

20.1.36. Issue 20/1

P.T.O

Serial No.

25.

To

The President, DTB Bangalore
The Rev Comr.
The D.C. Bangalore Dr
The Comptroller to Govt

Govt. No. 556265 dated 29. 1. 36

Directs that 1/4 of the net income at the Shanbhags' Inquiries be made over to the respective V.P.s subject to a maximum of 1/4 of the average realisations of Panchayat for the last three yrs from all sources other than grants from the Govt of the DTB

B
4.2.36

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

LR 98-33-5
VP 43-32-11 3391

No. C 4006/32-33
En eC 18 on file

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 11th January *193* 4

13 JAN 1934

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN'L & REV. SECTT.
16 JAN. 1934
L. S. G. BRANCH.

To

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Development Department~~ *Bangalore.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
13 JAN.
No. C-5

Apportionment of Shandy Income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets - Re -

---

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, recommends in his letter No.C.2-4789/32-33 dated 16th November 1933 (copy enclosed) that one-fourth of the net realisations from the Shandies held in the marginally noted Village Panchayets may be apportioned to the Village Panchayets concerned instead of the entire realisations being credited to the District Board in consideration of the extra expenditure incurred by the Village Panchayets on account of the sanitation and conservancy arrangements made on such occasions. The resources of some of these Village Panchayets are reported to be very limited with the result that the funds at their credit do not permit them to incur this additional expenditure. In th

Bangalore Taluk.

1. Addigandahalli, 2. Varthur, 3. Chikkabanavara, 4. Hesaraghatta, and 5. Madivala.

Closepet Taluk.

1. Lakshmipuram Village Panchayet.

Chennapatna Taluk.

1. Singarajpur, and 2. Santhemugenahalli.

Magadi Taluk.

1. Solur, and 2. Kudur, and 3. Chakrabhavi.

Kankanhalli Taluk.

1. Satnur, and 2. Harohalli, 3. Doddamudavadi and 4. Kodihalli.

Hosakote Taluk.

1. Hindigandal, 2. Devangundi, 3. Thavarakere, and 4. Kadagudi.

Devanahalli Taluk.

1. Mudagurki and 2. Budigere. 3) Bettahalsoor ? mis

circumstances, I agree that the Village Panchayets are entitled to a share of the realisations at the Shandies and recommend that one-fourth of the net collections of the Shandies held in the Village Panchayet areas may be made over to the Village Panchayets concerned.

2. The connected records accompany.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

N M Choudhary

Revenue Commissioner.

PSB

BR.

Letter No.C.2-4789/32-33 dated 16th November 1933, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-- Apportionment of Shandy income between the District Board and the Village Panchayets.

With reference to your official memorandum No.C.4006/32-33 dated the 28th March 1933 on the above subject, I have the honour to submit herewith the proposals submitted by the marginally noted Amildars for the apportionment of the Shandy collections to the concerned Village Panchayets in their Taluks and to state as follows:--

Bangalore, Closepet, Chennapatna, Magadi, Kankanhalli, Hoskote and Devanahalli.

Shandies under the control of the Bangalore District Board are held in this District in the villages mentioned in the accompanying list and the monthly realisations are also noted.

Out of the 6 Shandies held in Bangalore Taluk, the Krishnarajapur village Panchayet has been given one-fourth of the gross realisations of the Shandy collection held at that place as per Government order No.L.4899-4902/V.P.'26-29-32 dated the 8/9th January 1932. The other 5 Village Panchayets are not given any share of the shandy collections held in those places and the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk reports that there are many items of village improvement works in these Panchayets and that the amount apportioned to them out of the shandy collections will be utilised for the improvement of the villages and recommends that one-fourth of the shandy realisations may be apportioned to each of the Village Panchayets.

There is only one shandy held in Closepet Taluk at Vadavatta which is included in Lakshmipuram Village Panchayet in Kutagal Hobli. The Amildar reports that this is a roadside village and that a scavenger on Rs.10/- per mensem is essential to remove the dirt and filth of the village and recommends for the apportionment of half the shandy realisation to the Village Panchayet.

Two shandies are held in Chennapatna Taluk - one at Singarajapur and the other at Santemugenahalli. The Amildar reports that these 2 village panchayets are turning out good work and that they require Rs.3,000/- and Rs.1,500/- respectively for the drainage -

works and recommends for the apportionment of 50% of the shandi realisations.

Five shandies are held in Magadi Taluk. The Amildar reports that the Village Panchayets of Solur, Kudur and Chakrabhavi require amounts for sinking a drinking water well for undertaking the lighting and other amenities for completing the proposed school building respectively and recommends the apportionment of 1/3rd of the shandy realisation for the Solur Village Panchayet and half the realisations to the other two Village Panchayets. As regards the other three shandies held in the villages of Tippasandra, New Water Supply Reservoir and Sankighatta, the Amildar reports that no apportionment is necessary. He adds that the neighbourhood of the shandy at New Water Supply Reservoir is under the control of the Public Works Department and that the shandy realisations of Sankighatta is too small being only Rs.3/- per mensem, to ask for any apportionment.

In Kankanhalli Taluk, shandies are held in the marginally noted five villages in Satnur, Harohalli, Doddamaralavadi, Doddamudavadi, Kodihalli.

Satnur Village Panchayet has for its consideration a water supply scheme costing Rs.9,500/- and the District Board have resolved to meet 1/3rd of the estimated amount not exceeding Rs.3,000/- and recurring expenditure of half the amount not exceeding Rs.200/- per annum, but the Amildar recommends that 1/3rd of the shandy realisations may be apportioned to the Village Panchayet. He recommends the same proportion of apportionment in the case of the Village Panchayets of Harohalli, Doddamudavadi and Kodihalli. No proposal has been submitted for the apportionment of the shandy realisations of Doddamaralavadi. Perhaps it may be due to the fact that the shandy realisations are only Rs.4/- per mensem.

There are four District Board Shandies in Hoskote Taluk. The Amildar has proposed that half the realisations from the Shandies held at Hindiganal and Devangundi may be apportioned to the concerned Village Panchayets, and in the cases of Tavarekere and Kadamudi Shandies 3/4 of the realisations may be apportioned.

There are three shandies in Devanahalli Taluk and the

Amildar xxxxxxxx recommends that one-fourth of the shandy realisations may be handed over to the concerned Village Panchayets.

As the Village Panchayets are put to additional expenditure by the holding of the shandy within their jurisdiction to make sanitary and other arrangements, it is but right that a share of the shandy realisations is given to them. I recommend that one-fourth of the shandy realisations may be apportioned to the concerned Village Panchayets as has been done in the case of Krishnarajapur Shandy.

Proposals have not been received from the Amildars of Anekal, Nelamangala and Doddballapur and they have been reminded by a D.O. to expedite the same. On receipt of their proposals, necessary recommendation in respect of them together with proposa for the apportionment of the realisations of shandy collection at Kaggalipura will be submitted.

" C o p y "

For Revenue Commissioner.

PBB

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 19.2.34

Signed by S. L. Kochar

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section (UP

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 12

No. L6360 Ed 2

To

The Presdt, D.R.Bd, Bau
thro, The D

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copies of letter no C 4006/32-33 D 13.1.34 & its enclosure, from the R.C. in Mysore regarding the apportionment of the income from the Chandis in the Bangalore Dt between the Dt Bd & the concerned Village Panchayets & to request you to kindly place the matter before the next meeting of the Bd & furnish its recommendations for the orders of Govt. Thanking you

Yours faithfully
for Secretary

memo no 2631/d 19.2.34 Ag M.B.
copy to R.C. for info

WD 0677—GPB—15,000—8-11-33

Extra

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

69

No. L 6360/VP 43-32-12 Bangalore, Dated, 16th February 193 4

69a

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The President, District Board,
Bangalore,

Through the Deputy Commissioner.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copies of letter No.C.4006/32-33 dated 13th January 1934 and its enclosure, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore regarding the apportionment of the income from the shandies in the Bangalore District between the District Board and the concerned Village Panchayets and to request you to kindly place the matter before the next meeting of the Board and forward its resolution for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for M.B.

General Secretary to Government.

1460 S 32-18/041

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. R. 1298/34-35.

Bangalore, 2nd August *1935.*

From

V. VENKATAPPA, ESQ.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

Rec'd on 4-9-35 & REV. SECT. 3 SEPT

To

The Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore City.

Through:-
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

SIR,

Subject:- Apportionment of shandy Realizations to the Village Panchayets in the Bangalore Dist.

In continuation of this office D. O. No. 1298 dated 31st May 1935 and with reference to your letter No. L. 6360/V. P. 43-32-12 dated the 16/19th February 1934 on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Shandies help the trade of the localities and create facilities to the producers and to the consumers by helping to dispose of the commodities of the former as well as bringing to the doors of the latter the articles wanted by them for their daily use. Though they help the general public in such a way yet they have their own drawbacks. By congregation of men there will be a source of spreading infectious diseases from one person to the other. There may be trouble to the water source nearby being contaminated by the use of the same by a sickly person that visits the shandy To overcome these drawbacks, preventive measures will have to be taken which means spending of money. Where should the money come from to be spent over this purpose? Government devised a means and decided that it should be got at the place alone by a small taxation on the public who would be benefitted by the shandy and this tax is perhaps called "Market Fees" levied in shandies

I believe that the taxation was adopted just for the purpose described above and not for hindering trade or to derive profit either to Government or to Local Bodies like District Board or Village Panchayet. I may also add that the contagion got at the shandy may not stop at the place alone, but would spread through many villages and preventive measures will have to be taken there also.

Under the District Board Regulation of 1926, the taking of measures necessary for the Public Health, Sanitation &c., in rural parts, is an obligatory duty imposed on the District Board. Suppose there is an outbreak of Cholera or Plague, or Small-pox in a Village. The Village Panchayet does not take any action to prevent it nor will it be financially capable of doing it. It is the District Board that goes to the help of the Village Panchayets The Vaccinators' pay and the cost of the lymph is borne by the Board. The cost of the inoculation bulbs of Cholera, and the cost of the disinfectants are paid by the Board and not by the concerned Panchayets. So, the Board is only spending its income towards the well-being of its sister Local Bodies i. e. Village Panchayets. It is only when the finances of the central body is sound, the Villages can get the maximum help and on the other hand if the income of the District Board is crippled by reducing its income by apportioning the same to certain particular Panchayets, the help that it can bestow on villages in general will naturally be reduced. Thereby many deserving villages may not get the help they need in times of danger and epidemics.

Now coming to the question of apportionment of shandy collections to the Panchayets, I write to state that by such an apportionment only the Panchayets in whose area the shandy is held will be benefitted at the cost of the surrounding villages and it is a matter for consideration whether such a Village Panchayet has any right for such a preferential treatment. The

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District in his letter No. C2-4789/

32-33

dated 16th November 1933 to the Revenue Commissioner has reported that as the Village Panchayets are put to additional expenditure by the holding of a shandy within their jurisdiction to make Sanitary and other arrangements, it is but right that a share of the shandy realizations is given to them. In this connexion I may point out that nor of the Village Panchayets where shandies are held has made any sanitary arrangement for the same nor will they be able to do the same with their slender resources. I may add that no sweepers are entertained in many of the Village Panchayets to keep the Village and Shandy Maidan clean leaving alone other things. Besides, by the conditions of the lease, the shandy Contractor is bound to keep the area clean and tidy and this is being insisted upon scrupulously.

Coming to the second point as to how far the Village where the shandy is held helps to the collection of market fees to claim preferential treatment, I write to state that it is the people of the surrounding villages that come and buy the commodities. It is they that swell the purse of the merchants of the village where shandy is held, who in turn give the tax and so the money paid by them must go to the improvement of their conditions rather than to the exchequer of the Panchayet which has done nothing and contributed the minimum.

The Government when passing orders to apportion certain percentage of shandy realisations to Village Panchayets (L. 5341-50/ V. P. 50-27-3 dated the 6th February 1935) has perhaps done so only by looking to the poor finances of the Village Panchayets but they must also consider the other side of the question as to how far th apportionment will curtail the resources of the District Board and thereby restricts the help that can be given to the poor Villages which are in dire necessity of a drinking water well, a good road, a school for their children &c. Only because a Village is fortun in having within its area the shandy maidan, it cannot be given a lion's share of the money at the cost of and to the prejudice of t surrounding villages which have contributed the major portion of t money.

The District Board is discharging its obligatory duties to

to the entire satisfaction of the public in general. In addition to the obligatory duties of attending to sanitation and health of the rural parts it has for the last five years contributed and undertaken the works of Public utility as noted in the list enclosed.

The shandy realizations in this District are only Rs. 26,820/- and if 1 of the realization is given away, the amount at the disposal of the Board will be considerably reduced and the Board will be put to the painful necessity of giving a negative reply to all the deserving representations of villagers for help. I hope, Government will not allow matters to drift like that.

The District Board before which the subject was brought on the 13th July 1935 have resolved that it is not possible to give any share of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets - copy of of which is enclosed for information.

In the above circumstances, I request you to kindly place the above facts before Government and obtain their orders to have the entire shandy realizations at the credit of the Board to be utilised for the well-being of the villages.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
V. Venkatappa,
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
5. AUG. 1935
No. 2346
BANGALORE DIST.

Private Secy
C2-815/35-36
Forwarded with Compliments
In the absence of Constructive suggestions by the District Board, the Government may be pleased to direct reasonable apportionment
29/8/35

LIST SHOWING THE AMOUNT SPENT BY THE DISTRICT BOARD FOR THE YEARS 1930-31 TO 1934-35

19

Year.	Amount spent on Road and other Public works.	Amount spent on Drinking Water Wells.	Remarks.
1930-31.	42,016	4,320	
1931-32.	29,601	10,086	
1932-33.	21,148	11,305	
1933-34.	35,934	9,828	
1934-35.	30,760	8,202	

Besides the Board has agreed to pay contribution to the Water Works Scheme of Satnur and Kakol through Bore-wells.

Bangalore City,

2nd August 1935.

V. Venkatappa

President,
District Board.

20

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ORDINARY MEETING OF THE DISTRICT BOARD, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 13th JULY 1935.

xx xx xx

9. Letter No. L. 6360/V. P. 43-32-12 dated 19th February 1934 from the General Secretary to Government calling for a resolution of the Board regarding the apportionment of the income from the shandies in the District between the Board and the Village Panchayets concerned.

RESOLUTION OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE:- Wait till the reply is receiced for the previous Board resolution.

RESOLUTION:- Not possible.

xx xx xx

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"C o p y"

V. Venkatappa

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section VP

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 21

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No.

To

The Rev. Comrs.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C 4006/32-33 dated 13.1.34 recommending sanction to the apportionment of ¼ of the net realisations from the shandies held in certain villages in the Bangalore Dt to the Village Panchayets concerned, I am directed to invite reference to para 6 of the G.O. No L 53-58-VP 50-27-3 dated 6.2.29 directing that in assigning portions of the income to the V.Ps each case has to be considered on its merits

Date of issue ....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

according to the financial

Condition of the D. B and the

V-P Concerned; and I am directed to

enquire ~~therefore~~, if each

Case has been examined on this basis

before making the recommendation for appointment

in merit, as contemplated in

the order above quoted, with which with what regards.

The enclosures received

with your letter under

advertance are herewith

returned

I have &c

Sey to Govt

Dev Dept.

for 13/10/65

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat 23

No. L 2870

Dated, Bangalore, ~~17th~~ 23rd October 1935

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.4006/32-33 dated 13th January 1934 recommending sanction to the apportionment of ¼ of the net realisations from the shandies held in certain villages, in the Bangalore District to the Village Panchayets concerned, I am directed to invite reference to para 6 of the Government Order No.L.5341-58 VP.30-27-3 dated 6th February 1929 directing that in assigning portions of the income to the Village Panchayets each case has to be considered on its merits according to the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned and to enquire if each case has been examined on this basis before making the recommendation for apportionment.

The enclosure received with your letter under advertance are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Extra

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat . 24

Dated, Bangalore, 18th October *193* 5
23rd

No. L 2870
VP 43-32-21

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Development Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.4006/32-33 dated 15th January 1934 recommending sanction to the apportionment of ¼ of the net realisations from the shandies held in certain villages, in the Bangalore District to the Village Panchayets concerned, I am directed to invite reference to para 6 of the Government Order No.L.5341-58 VP.50-27-3 dated 6th February 1929 directing that in assigning portions of the income to the Village Panchayets each case has to be considered on its merits according to the finandial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned and to enquire if each case has been examined on this basis before making the recommendation for apportionment.

The enclosure received with your letter under advertence are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubbarao

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Ks

UP 43-32-24

No. C.1395/A./34-35

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 12th December *193* 5

13 DEC 1935

2798/L.

FROM

K.V.Anantaraman.Esq.B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M.Venkataranaranappa.Esq.B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Apportionment of Shandy income between the District Board & the Village Panchayets in Bangalore District.

With reference to your letter No.L.2870/V.P.43-32-21 dated 23rd October 1935, enquiring if every one of the above cases recommended by me were considered on its merits etc., I have the honour to forward herewith, a copy of letter No.C2.815/35-36 dated 30th November 1935 received from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, for your information and further action.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

1883

Letter No.C2.8155/35-36 dated 30-11-1935,from the Deputy Commissioner,Bangalore District,to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your memo No.C.1395/34-35 dated 18-11-1935, regarding the apportionment of Shandy realisations between the District Board and the Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that the Amildars who had been asked to submit their proposals after examining each case as laid down in para 6 of the G.O.No.L/5341-58/V.P.50-27-3 dated 6-2-1929,and carefully scrutinising each case,submitted their proposals with reference to the merits in each case. These proposals were examined in this office and the recommendations made in this Office letter No.C2.4789/32-33 dated 27-11-1933 and 17-5-1935 were formulated after considering the financial effect on the District Board and the Village Panchayets generally.

The proposal to let the Village Panchayets have 1/4 of the shandy realisations,while improving the finances of the Village Panchayets tangibly and enabling them to provide the necessary amenities in rural area will not affect the District Boards very appreciably as only a quarter of the realisations is provided to be taken away from them.

Copy.

G. Rudrappa

For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The file has been listed to file re: apportionment of the income from Shanbhag in the Hassan Dr. (D. No. 1978/2) which has been subm to the Dev Secy and 13/1

If appd, US returns will be awaited

16-12-85

23.12.85

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. F. Section.

Advance.

Sanctions the grant of an_____of Rs._____
to Mr._____
out of his Provident Fund Account.

To—The Comptroller to Government.

G.O.No.Fl._____/G.F._____Dated_____192 .

Advance.

Sanctions the grant of an_____of Rs._____
to Mr._____
out of his Provident Fund Account.

To—The Comptroller to Government.

G.O.No.Fl._____/G.F._____Dated_____192 .

Advance.

Sanctions the grant of an_____of Rs._____
to Mr._____
out of his Provident Fund Account.

To—The Comptroller to Government.

G.O.No.Fl._____/G.F._____Dated_____192

L 5562-65/VP 43-32-25
& 29.1.36. (27)

Proceedings of the Government of
H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Order No.L.5341-58/V.P. 50-27-3 dated 6th February 1929 directing that Village Panchayets within whose jurisdiction shandies are held be assigned a share of the realisations therefrom, each case being dealt with on its merits having regard to the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned.

Ad by W.C.R. On 29.1.36.

2. Letter No. ~~D.4008/33-34~~ C.1395/A34-35 dated 13th ~~December 1934~~ January 1934 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending for reasons ~~given~~ stated therein that ~~1/4th~~ one fourth of the net realisations from the shandies held in the marginally noted Village Panchayets in Bangalore District may be apportioned to the Village Panchayets concerned instead of the entire realisations being credited to the District Board.

1.Bangalore Taluk.

1.Addigandahalli,
2.Hessarghatta.
3.Madivala.

2.Closepet Taluk.

1.Lakshmipuram.

3.Chennapatna Taluk.

1.Singarajpur.
2.Santhemugenahalli.

4.Magadi Taluk.

1.Solur.
2.Kudur.
3.Chakrabhavi.

5.Kankanhalli Taluk.

1.Satnur.
2.Harohalli.
3.Doddamudavadi.
4.Kodihalli.

6.Hosakote Taluk.

1.Hindiganal.
2.Devangundi.
3.Tavarekere.
4.Kadagudi.

7. Devanahalli Taluk.

1.Mudagurki.
2. Hdigana

3. Correspondence ending with Memo No.C.2-815/35-36 dated 29th August 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, forwarding letter No.C.R. 1298/34-35 dated 2nd August 1935 from the President, District Board, Bangalore District forwarding the resolution of the District Board that it is not possible to give any share of the shandy realisation to the Village Panchayets.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No C 1395/A34-35 dated 12.12.35 [illegible] the Subject

aA

Order No.

Dated Bangalore, the 1935.

Government having in their order of 6th February 1929 read above recognised the principle of the payment by the District Boards of a portion of the shandy realisations to the respective Village Panchayets, they see no reason to ~~modify that principle~~ ~~go back upon that order~~ and the arguments advanced by the Bangalore District Board for not making the payment cannot be accepted.

Agreeing with the Revenue Commissioner, Government direct that one-fourth of the net realisations from the shandies in question be made over to the respective ~~Local Boards~~ Village Panchayats by the District Board, Bangalore. But the amount to be thus made over will be subject to a maximum of one-fourth of the average realisations of the Panchayat for the last three years from all sources. Other than Grants from the Govt or the D.B. Board.

The actual amounts determined on this basis may be reported to Govt for info

[illegible]

for Secretary to Government,
Development Deptt.

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore.
" Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
" Comptroller to Government.

M.K.M.

Extract

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

29

Read:-

Government Order No.L.5341-58/V.P.50-27-3 dated 6th February 1929 directing that Village Panchayets within whose jurisdiction shandies are held be assigned a share of the realisations therefrom each case being dealt with on its merits having regard to the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned.

2. Letter No.C.4006/32-33 dated 15th January 1934 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending for reasons stated therein that one fourth of the net realisations from the shandies held in the marginally noted village Panchayets in Bangalore District may be apportioned to the Village Panchayets concerned instead of the entire realisations being credited to the District Board.

1.Bangalore Taluk.
1.Addigandahalli
2.Hessarghatta
3.Madivala.

2.Closepet Taluk.
1.Lakshmipuram.

3.Channapatna Taluk.
1.Singarajpur.
2.Santherugenahalli.

4.Magadi Taluk.
1.Solur.
2.Kudur.
3.Chakrabhavi.

5.Kankanhalli Taluk.
1.Satnur
2.Harohalli
3.Doddamudavadi.
4.Kodihalli.

6.Hosakote Taluk.
1.Hindigunal.
2.Devangundi
3.Tavarekere.
4.Kadagudi.

7.Devanahalli Taluk.
1.Mudagurki.
2.Budigere.

3. Correspondence ending with Memo No.C.2-815/35-36 dated 29th August 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, forwarding letter No.C.R.1298/34-35 dated 2nd August 1935 from the President, District Board Bangalore District, forwarding the resolution of the District Board that it is not possible to give any share of the shandy realisation to the Village Panchayets.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.1395/34-3 dated 12th December 1935 from the Revenue Commissioner on the subject.

Order No. L 5562-65/V.P 43-32-25

Dated, Bangalore, the 29th January 1936

Government having in their order of 6th February 1929 read above recognised the principle of the payment by the District Boards of a portion of the shandy realisations to the respective village Panchayets, they see no reason to modify that principle and the arguments advanced by the Bangalore District Board for not making

making the payment cannot be accepted.

Agreeing with the Revenue Commissioner, Government direct that one-fourth of the net realisations from the shandies in question be made over to the respective Village Panchayets by the District Board, Bangalore. But the amount to be thus made over will be subject to a maximum of one fourth of the average realisations of the Panchayet for the past three years from all sources other than Grants from the Government or the District Board. The actual amounts determined on this basis may be reported to Government for information.

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Comptroller to Government.

mk.5